JANA NEXUS: JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL, VETERINARY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

ISSN(O): 3139-0765

Journal Homepage: www. jananexusaves.com

JN:JAVES, Volume 02, Issue 04 April, 2026, 02(04) 13-16

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Article DOI: 10.21474/JNAVES01/129

DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/JNAVES01/129

INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT IN MODERN AGRICULTURE: APPROACHES, BENEFITS, AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Elena V. Petrova, Muhammad Arif Khan, Grace N. Okafor and Rahul S. Menon

Corresponding Author: Elena V. Petrova

| ABSTRACT

Crop losses caused by insect pests, weeds, and plant diseases remain a major challenge to global agricultural production. Conventional pest control relies heavily on synthetic pesticides, which may result in environmental pollution, pesticide resistance, and adverse effects on human health. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) has emerged as a sustainable approach that combines biological, cultural, mechanical, and chemical control methods to manage pest populations effectively while minimizing environmental risks.

| KEYWORDS

Integrated Pest Management, Sustainable agriculture, Biological control, Crop protection, Pest control, Agricultural sustainability

|ARTICLE INFORMATION

RECEIVED: 18 February 2026 **ACCEPTED:** 24 March 2026 **PUBLISHED:** April 2026

Abstract:-

Crop losses caused by insect pests, weeds, and plant diseases remain a major challenge to global agricultural production. Conventional pest control relies heavily on synthetic pesticides, which may result in environmental pollution, pesticide resistance, and adverse effects on human health. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) has emerged as a sustainable approach that combines biological, cultural, mechanical, and chemical control methods to manage pest populations effectively while minimizing environmental risks. This review examines the principles, components, advantages, challenges, and future prospects of integrated pest management in modern agriculture. The study highlights the importance of ecological pest control strategies and technological innovations in promoting sustainable crop production. Adoption of IPM practices can significantly reduce pesticide dependence, improve environmental quality, and enhance agricultural sustainability.

Introduction:-

Agriculture is essential for food production and economic development worldwide. However, pests and diseases continue to cause substantial yield losses in major crops. Farmers often depend on chemical pesticides to protect crops from damage, but excessive pesticide use has created numerous environmental and health concerns. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) offers a balanced approach to pest control by combining multiple management strategies based on ecological principles. IPM seeks to maintain pest populations below economically damaging levels while minimizing risks to humans, animals, and the environment. This review discusses the principles, methods, benefits, and challenges associated with IPM in contemporary agriculture.

Concept of Integrated Pest Management:-

Integrated Pest Management is a decision-based pest control system that integrates different management techniques to achieve effective and sustainable pest suppression.

The primary goals of IPM include:-

- Reducing crop losses
- Minimizing pesticide use
- Protecting beneficial organisms
- Preserving environmental quality
- Improving economic returns

IPM emphasizes prevention and monitoring rather than relying solely on chemical interventions.

Components of Integrated Pest Management:-

Cultural Control:-

Cultural practices create unfavorable conditions for pest development.

Examples include:-

- Crop rotation
- Proper planting dates
- Field sanitation
- Resistant crop varieties
- Balanced fertilization

These methods reduce pest establishment and reproduction.

Biological Control:-

Biological control involves the use of natural enemies to suppress pest populations.

Examples include:-

- Predatory insects
- Parasitoids
- Entomopathogenic fungi
- Beneficial nematodes

Biological control contributes to long-term ecological balance.

Mechanical and Physical Control:-

Physical methods directly remove or exclude pests.

Examples include:-

- Traps
- Barriers
- Hand removal
- Light traps
- Sticky traps

These approaches are environmentally friendly and effective in specific situations.

Chemical Control:-

Pesticides may be used when necessary and when economic thresholds are exceeded.

IPM recommends:-

- Selective pesticides
- Proper dosage application
- Targeted spraying
- Resistance management strategies

Chemical control is considered a supplementary component rather than the primary solution.

Pest Monitoring and Surveillance:-

Monitoring is a critical component of IPM.

Methods include:-

- Field scouting
- Pheromone traps
- Remote sensing
- Digital monitoring systems

Regular monitoring helps farmers make informed management decisions and avoid unnecessary pesticide applications.

Economic Threshold Concept:-

The economic threshold is the pest population level at which control measures should be implemented to prevent economic losses.

Benefits include:-

- Reduced production costs
- Efficient resource utilization
- Prevention of excessive pesticide use

Threshold-based decision-making improves overall farm profitability.

Benefits of Integrated Pest Management:-

Reduced Pesticide Dependence:-

IPM minimizes reliance on chemical pesticides through alternative control methods.

Environmental Protection:-

Reduced pesticide application decreases contamination of soil, water, and air.

Preservation of Beneficial Organisms:-

IPM protects pollinators and natural enemies that contribute to ecosystem stability.

Improved Human Health:-

Lower pesticide exposure benefits farmers, consumers, and surrounding communities.

Enhanced Crop Productivity:-

Effective pest management contributes to stable yields and improved crop quality.

Technological Innovations in IPM:-

Modern technologies are transforming pest management systems.

Remote Sensing Technologies:-

Satellite and drone imagery assist in detecting pest infestations.

Artificial Intelligence:-

AI systems can identify pests and predict outbreaks using image analysis.

Mobile-Based Advisory Systems:-

Farmers receive real-time pest management recommendations through smartphone applications.

Precision Agriculture:-

Site-specific pest management improves control efficiency and reduces input costs.

Challenges in IPM Adoption:-

Despite its advantages, several obstacles limit widespread adoption.

Limited Farmer Awareness:-

Many producers lack sufficient knowledge of IPM practices.

Technical Complexity:-

Successful implementation often requires training and expert support.

Initial Investment Costs:-

Monitoring tools and biological control agents may increase short-term expenses.

Policy and Institutional Constraints:-
Inadequate extension services and support programs can slow adoption.
Future Perspectives:-
The future of IPM will likely involve greater integration of digital technologies and ecological approaches.

Key developments may include:-
- Smart pest monitoring systems
- AI-assisted diagnostics
- Enhanced biological control products
- Climate-resilient pest management strategies
- Sustainable pesticide alternatives

These innovations will improve the effectiveness and accessibility of IPM systems.

Conclusion:-

Integrated Pest Management is a sustainable and environmentally responsible approach to crop protection. By combining cultural, biological, mechanical, and chemical methods, IPM effectively manages pest populations while minimizing environmental and health risks. Continued advancements in technology, education, and policy support will further strengthen the adoption of IPM practices worldwide. The integration of ecological principles and scientific innovation will play a critical role in achieving sustainable agricultural production and global food security.

References:-

1. Kogan M. Integrated Pest Management: Historical perspectives and contemporary developments. Annual Review of Entomology. 1998;43:243–270.
2. Dent D. Integrated Pest Management. Springer; 2000.
3. Pimentel D. Environmental and economic costs of pesticide use. BioScience. 2005;55(7):573–582.
4. Ehler LE. Integrated pest management and sustainable agriculture. Crop Protection. 2006;25(7):787–791.
5. Norris RF, Caswell-Chen EP, Kogan M. Concepts in Integrated Pest Management. Prentice Hall; 2003.
6. Pedigo LP, Rice ME. Entomology and Pest Management. Waveland Press; 2014.
7. FAO. Integrated Pest Management Guidelines. Food and Agriculture Organization; 2022.
8. Pretty J, Bharucha ZP. Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems. Annals of Botany. 2014;114(8):1571–1596.
9. Chandler D, Bailey AS, Tatchell GM, et al. Biological control in integrated pest management. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B. 2011;366:1987–1998.
10. Hillocks RJ. Farming with fewer pesticides: Integrated pest management. Outlooks on Pest Management. 2012;23(4):185–189.