JANA NEXUS: JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL, VETERINARY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

ISSN(O): 3139-0765

Journal Homepage: www. jananexusaves.com

JN:JAVES, Volume 02, Issue 03 March, 2026, 02(03) 01-5

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Article DOI: 10.21474/JNAVES01/121
DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/JNAVES01/121

INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT (IPM): SUSTAINABLE STRATEGIES FOR CROP PROTECTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Rebecca Wilson[1], Hassan El-Mahdi[2] and Daniel Ortega[3]

1. Department of Plant Protection.
2. Department of Agricultural Sciences.
3. Independent Researcher.

Corresponding Author: Rebecca Wilson

| ABSTRACT

Crop pests continue to pose significant threats to global agricultural production, causing substantial yield losses and economic damage. Conventional pest management has largely relied on synthetic pesticides, which have contributed to environmental pollution, pesticide resistance, biodiversity loss, and human health concerns. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) has emerged as a sustainable approach that combines biological, cultural, mechanical, and chemical control methods to manage pest populations while minimizing environmental impacts.

| KEYWORDS

Integrated Pest Management, Crop Protection, Biological Control, Sustainable Agriculture, Pest Control, Environmental Conservation

|ARTICLE INFORMATION

RECEIVED: 10 January 2026 **ACCEPTED:** 14 February 2026 **PUBLISHED:** March 2026

Abstract:-

Crop pests continue to pose significant threats to global agricultural production, causing substantial yield losses and economic damage. Conventional pest management has largely relied on synthetic pesticides, which have contributed to environmental pollution, pesticide resistance, biodiversity loss, and human health concerns. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) has emerged as a sustainable approach that combines biological, cultural, mechanical, and chemical control methods to manage pest populations while minimizing environmental impacts. This review explores the principles, components, benefits, challenges, and future prospects of IPM. The review highlights how IPM contributes to sustainable agriculture, environmental conservation, food security, and climate-resilient farming systems.

Introduction:-

Agricultural production faces continuous threats from insects, pathogens, weeds, rodents, and other pests. Crop losses caused by pests can significantly reduce food production and negatively impact farmer livelihoods. For decades, chemical pesticides have been widely used to control agricultural pests. Although pesticides have contributed to increased agricultural productivity, excessive and improper use has generated numerous environmental and health concerns. Integrated Pest Management (IPM) provides a holistic and environmentally sound alternative by integrating multiple pest control methods. IPM seeks to maintain pest populations below economically damaging levels while minimizing risks to humans, beneficial organisms, and ecosystems.

Concept and Principles of Integrated Pest Management:-
Integrated Pest Management is a decision-making process that combines various pest control strategies to achieve effective and sustainable pest management.

The fundamental principles of IPM include:-
• Prevention
• Monitoring
• Threshold-based intervention
• Multiple control methods
• Environmental protection
IPM emphasizes long-term pest suppression rather than complete eradication.

Historical Development of IPM:-
The concept of IPM emerged during the mid-twentieth century in response to growing concerns about pesticide dependence and environmental degradation.

Important developments included:-
• Recognition of pesticide resistance
• Environmental awareness movements
• Advances in biological control research
• Development of ecological pest management concepts
Today, IPM is widely recognized as a cornerstone of sustainable agriculture.

Major Crop Pests and Their Impact:-
Crop pests include:-
• Insects
• Plant pathogens
• Weeds
• Nematodes
• Rodents

Their impacts include:-
• Yield reduction
• Quality deterioration
• Economic losses
• Increased production costs
Global crop losses due to pests remain a major challenge for food security.

Components of Integrated Pest Management:-
Pest Monitoring and Surveillance:-
Regular monitoring is essential for informed decision-making.

Monitoring methods include:-
• Field scouting
• Trapping systems
• Remote sensing
• Digital monitoring tools
Accurate monitoring allows timely interventions and reduces unnecessary pesticide applications.

Economic Threshold Levels:-
Economic thresholds determine when pest populations require control measures. Interventions are implemented only when anticipated damage exceeds management costs. This approach reduces excessive pesticide use.

Biological Control Strategies:-
Biological control involves the use of natural enemies to suppress pest populations.

Important biological control agents include:-
• Predators
• Parasitoids
• Pathogens

Examples include:-
• Ladybird beetles controlling aphids
• Trichogramma wasps controlling caterpillar pests
• Entomopathogenic fungi controlling insect pests
Biological control supports ecological balance and sustainability.

Cultural Control Methods:-
Cultural practices modify agricultural environments to reduce pest populations.

Examples include:-
• Crop rotation
• Intercropping
• Proper planting dates
• Resistant crop varieties
• Field sanitation
These methods reduce pest establishment and reproduction.

Mechanical and Physical Control Methods:-
Mechanical and physical techniques directly remove or prevent pests.

Examples include:-
• Hand weeding
• Traps
• Barriers
• Mulching
• Soil solarization
These methods reduce reliance on chemical pesticides.

Chemical Control within IPM:-
Chemical pesticides remain important tools within IPM programs.

However, pesticide use should follow:-
• Threshold-based applications
• Proper dosage recommendations
• Selective pesticide selection
• Resistance management strategies
Chemical control should serve as a complementary rather than primary approach.

Pest Resistance Management:-
Pesticide resistance has become a major challenge in modern agriculture.

Strategies for resistance management include:-
• Rotating pesticide classes
• Combining control methods
• Monitoring resistance development
• Using biological alternatives
Resistance management prolongs pesticide effectiveness and supports sustainable pest control.

Environmental Benefits of IPM:-
Integrated Pest Management provides multiple environmental benefits.

These include:-
• Reduced pesticide pollution
• Protection of non-target organisms
• Conservation of biodiversity
• Improved soil health
• Enhanced ecosystem services
IPM contributes significantly to sustainable environmental management.

Human Health Benefits:-
Reduced pesticide use decreases risks associated with:-
• Food contamination
• Occupational exposure
• Water pollution
• Public health hazards
Safer food production systems improve consumer confidence and health outcomes.

Economic Benefits of IPM:-
Farmers adopting IPM often experience:-
• Reduced pesticide costs
• Improved crop quality
• Increased profitability
• Greater production stability
Long-term economic benefits frequently outweigh implementation costs.

Role of Technology in Modern IPM:-
Technological innovations are transforming pest management.

Emerging tools include:-
• Artificial Intelligence
• Precision agriculture
• Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
• Drone surveillance
• Automated pest detection systems
These technologies improve monitoring accuracy and decision-making.

Climate Change and Pest Management:-
Climate change influences pest populations by affecting:-
• Pest distribution
• Reproductive rates
• Survival patterns
• Disease outbreaks
IPM provides adaptive strategies for managing climate-related pest challenges.

Challenges in IPM Adoption:-
Several factors limit widespread implementation.

Challenges include:-
• Limited farmer awareness
• Technical knowledge gaps
• Initial investment costs
• Weak extension services
• Limited access to biological control products
Addressing these barriers is essential for broader adoption.

Policy and Institutional Support:-
Governments and institutions can promote IPM through:-
• Farmer training programs
• Research funding
• Extension services

• Regulatory frameworks
• Incentive programs
Strong policy support enhances implementation success.

Future Prospects:-
Future IPM development will likely focus on:-
• Digital agriculture
• Precision pest management
• Biological innovations
• Climate-resilient pest control systems
• Sustainable food production strategies
Advances in technology and ecological research will further strengthen IPM effectiveness.

Conclusion:-

Integrated Pest Management represents a sustainable and environmentally responsible approach to crop protection. By combining biological, cultural, mechanical, and chemical control methods, IPM reduces dependence on pesticides while maintaining agricultural productivity. The approach contributes to biodiversity conservation, environmental protection, food security, and economic sustainability. Continued research, technological innovation, farmer education, and policy support will be essential for expanding IPM adoption and achieving sustainable agricultural development.

References:-

1. FAO. Integrated Pest Management Guidelines.
2. Kogan M. Integrated Pest Management: Historical Perspectives and Contemporary Developments.
3. Dent D. Integrated Pest Management.
4. Pimentel D. Environmental and Economic Costs of Pesticide Use.
5. Norris RF, Caswell-Chen EP, Kogan M. Concepts in Integrated Pest Management.
6. Ehler LE. Integrated Pest Management and Environmental Sustainability.
7. Pedigo LP, Rice ME. Entomology and Pest Management.
8. United Nations Environment Programme. Sustainable Agriculture and Pest Management.
9. Pretty J. Agricultural Sustainability and IPM.
10. World Bank. Integrated Pest Management for Sustainable Agriculture.