JANA NEXUS: JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL, VETERINARY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

**ISSN(O):** 3139-0765

**Journal Homepage:** www. jananexusaves.com

*JN:JAVES, Volume 01, Issue 12* *December, 2025, 01(12)* *11- 16*

*RESEARCH ARTICLE*

**Article DOI:** 10.21474/JNAVES01/106

**DOI URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/ JNAVES01/106

# PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF LAYING TORTOISE GENOTYPES IN RAINFOREST MID-WESTERN, NIGERIA

**Kperegbeyi J. I[1], Meye J. A[1], Nwadiolu R[2], Ewododhe A.C.A[2], Onwumere-Idolor S. O[1] and Okhale O.E[1]**

1. Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Southern Delta University, P. M. B. 5, Ozoro, Nigeria.
2. Department of Agricultural Economics, Faculty of Agriculture, Southern Delta University, P. M. B. 5, Ozoro, Nigeria.

**Corresponding Author**: Kperegbeyi J. I

## | ABSTRACT

The research was performedto evaluate productive performance of laying tortoise genotypes in rainforest zone. Animals used for the study comprised30 each of Marginated tortoises(Testudo marginata) MT, African Spurred tortoises(Centrochlyssulcata ) - AST, Pancake tortoises (Malacochersustornieri) - PCT,Greek tortoises(Testudo graeca) - GT andWest African Mud tortoises(Pelusiosniger) -WAMT.The egg production traits monitored were age at first egg (AFE), body weight at first egg (BWFE), weight of first egg (WFE), egg number,and clutch number.

## | KEYWORDS

Egg production, egg weight, genotype, egg number, crossbreeding, weight of first egg

## |ARTICLE INFORMATION

**RECEIVED:**10 October 2025 **ACCEPTED:** 12 November 2025 **PUBLISHED:** December 2025

## Abstract:-

The research was performedto evaluate productive performance of laying tortoise genotypes in rainforest zone. Animals used for the study comprised30 each of Marginated tortoises(Testudo marginata) MT, African Spurred tortoises(Centrochlyssulcata ) - AST, Pancake tortoises (Malacochersustornieri) - PCT,Greek tortoises(Testudo graeca) - GT andWest African Mud tortoises(Pelusiosniger) -WAMT.The egg production traits monitored were age at first egg (AFE), body weight at first egg (BWFE), weight of first egg (WFE), egg number,and clutch number. A total of 150 sexually mature tortoises were used throughout the experimental period. The result revealed that genotype significantly ($P<0.05$) affected all egg production traits. AFE was superior in WAMT (4380 days), followed by GT, PCT,AST and MT with the corresponding means of 4088, 3650, 3431 and 3103days respectively. WAMT had the highestmean value (1427.36g)for BWFE while MT had the lowest value (1254.08g). However, WAMT also had the highest WFE (38.35g) compared to MT with lowest value (19.54g).Overall mean values for egg number/tortoise/clutch was higher in WAMT, butlower in value for egg weight per tortoise per clutch.AST exhibited overall mean of 144.79g egg weight gain per year over the other counterparts. The AST had the highest clutch number of 5 while PCT and GT had 4 clutch number each. In conclusion, it was suggested that the genetic potential of WAMT breed of tortoise can be effectively exploited by practicing crossbreeding to improve or increased laying performance.

## Introduction:-

A critical gap exists in understanding the precise causes of hatching failures, especially distinguishing between fertilization failure and early embryo death in wild populations. A significant proportion of undeveloped eggs are often misclassified using traditional methods, which can misdirect conservation efforts (Hopkins et al., 2013; Booth et al. 2020 and Booth et al.2022). More data is needed on: Frequency of nesting; longevity and generation time; and impact of climate change on incubation temperatures and potential population sex biases. These gaps hinder effective reproduction, conservation and management efforts (Bourouet al., 2001;Morcatty and Valsecchi, 2015; Major et al., 2017; Candan, 2018 and EL Bizriet al. 2020). Addressing these gaps is crucial, as over half of all tortoise species are currently threatened with extinction. Foundational data is required to form the basis for successful and cost-effective conservation strategies.

Zabala, and Maillet, (2024) emphasized that tortoise reproduction involves internal fertilization, where females lay eggs in a dug-out nest after a variable gestation period. Productive performance of laying in tortoises varies significantly by species, with factors like clutch size (number of eggs), clutch frequency, egg size, and annual reproductive potential differing between species and even populations (Henen, 1997; McLuckie and Fridell, 2002; Rostalet al., 2004). Generally, larger tortoises lay large eggs, and there is an inverse relationship between egg size and clutch size, with larger eggs leading to fewer eggs. For example, Russian (Horsfield) tortoises lay fewer clutches (2-3 per year), but each clutch contains 2-5 larger eggs than Hermann's (Boettgeri) tortoises, which lay more clutches per year, but these contain more eggs (5-8, up to 12), which are generally smaller (Phillott and Godfrey, 2021; Choi et al., 2021 and Hays et al. 2022).Kperegbeyi, et al. (2025) also noted that tortoises that lay fewer, larger eggs may be following a different parental strategy compared to those that lay more numerous, smaller eggs. In environmental conditions, factors like diet and climate can influence a tortoise's ability to lay eggs. Tortoise eggs and meat are products among the most valuable sources of animal protein available for human consumption in rural communities. These products offer means of meeting the animal protein deficiencies in many African countries (Phillott and Godfrey, 2020; Cordero and Vlachos, 2021; Cohen et al., 2022). In most of these developing countries, demand for tortoise eggs and meat far outstrip supply to urban areas for consumption, as evidenced of alternative to poultry egg by steep rises in prices in recent times.

Tortoise population has a preponderance of survival genes to the detriment of productive genes. These may be partly for the reason that tortoises have not been subjected to adequate genetic selection for increased productivity (Standford, 2020; Dutcher et al., 2020 and Conrad et al., 2022), but more to natural selection by the adverse environmental conditions. Several researches have been conducted towards the effective genetic improvement of tortoise species by few Nigerian researchers across the different ecological zones of the country (Elliott et al., 2019; Hanishet al., 2020; Ewart et al., 2022). All improvement methods make use of information on phenotypic and genetic performance of the tortoises. Limited information is available on the egg production traits of Nigerian tortoises compared to exotic breeds. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate productive performance of laying tortoises of different species in rainforest ecological zone.

## Materials and Methods:-

### Experimental Site:-

The research was conducted at the Department of Animal Production Research Farm (DAPRF), Southern Delta University (SDU), Ozoro situated between Latitude $5^0$ $32^I$ N and Longitude $6^0$ $15^I$E of Greenwich meridian in mid-western Nigeria's rainforest. The mean annual rainfall in the area ranges between 2500 and 3000 mm while the mean temperature and Relative Humidity are $27.4^0$C and 85 % respectively (SDU, 2024).

### Experimental animal and design:-

A total of one hundred and fifty (150) sexually matured tortoises were screened after balancing for weight, the tortoises were randomly assigned into five (5) laying treatments with three (3) replicates of ten (10) tortoises per replicate. Feed and water were provided ad-libitum.The experimental design used was Complete Randomized Design (CRD).

### Experimental Diets:-

The experimental animals were fed on a grower's mash that supplied 24% crude protein and 2560kcal/kg ME. Thereafter, a high-qualitydiet containing 30% crude protein and 3350 kcal/kg ME were fed at the laying phase. Provision of source of calcium, such as limestone flour sprinkled on their diet. Fresh, clean water was also provided.

### Management of Experimental Animals:-

The experiment was carried out with sexually matured adult tortoises comprised of 30 each of Marginated tortoises (Testudo marginata), African Spurred tortoises (Centrochlyssulcata), Pancake tortoises (Malacochersustornieri), Greek tortoises (Testudo graeca) and West African mud tortoises (Pelusiosniger) respectively.Sexually matured tortoises from each genotype group were properly identified by shell pattern, shape of the shell, and carapace was tag as T1, T2**,** T3, T4 and so on.Only healthy sexualyl matured adults ranging between 8 and 12 years of age were selected based on size and weight. The female tortoises were transferred into previously disinfected laying nesting area with deep, loose, well-drained substrate like a mix of soil and sand, adequate sun exposure was provided. The mating system was polygyny in 1 male:10 femalesratio.Tortoises in each treatment were raised in floor for a period of fifty-two (52) weeks. Intensive housing system was used for this study. The dimension of the area is 12ft x 12ft. Tortoises were kept in dry environments with a temperature gradient with a basking area of 30-32$^0$Cand a cooler side of 20-24$^0$C. Lighting of 12 to 14 hours of light from specialized UVB bulb were provided.

**Data Collection:-**

Data were collected on the laying performance parameters (AFE, BWFE, WFE, number of clutches and egg weight gain)of individual tortoises.

**Statistical Analysis:-**

The effect of genotype on the laying performance traits was analyzed using the model below:

$Y_{ij} = \mu + G_i + e_{ij}$

**Where:**

$Y_{ij}$= Observation on the j$^{th}$ tortoise in the i$^{th}$ genetic group

$\mu$ = Overall mean;

$G_i$= Effect of the i$^{th}$ genotype (Marginata, African Spurred, Pancake, Greek tortoise and West African mud)

$e_{ij}$= random residual error normally distributed with zero mean and variance ($\delta^2$ e)

Significant treatment means were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using statistical analysis system package (SAS 2018). Means were separated using Duncan multiple range test (1955) at 5% level of significance.

**Ethical Considerations:-**

All procedures involving animals were conducted in accordance with institutional and national guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. The study protocol was reviewed and performed under the oversight of the Department of animal Production. Tortoises were housed and managed to minimize stress (controlled thermal gradient, UVB lighting, appropriate substrate and ad libitum access to feed and water), and end-points were predefined to avoid unnecessary suffering. Where applicable, permits for animal use and handling were obtained from the relevant authorities. Detailed welfare measures and husbandry practices are reported in the materials and methods.

## Results:-

Table 1 showed the productive performance onage among the five tortoises' genotypes studied. The result on AFE, BWFE, WFE and mortality show that there were significantly ($P<0.05$)difference across the genotype groups, butWAMT had the highest mean values except for mortality. This is followed by PCT, AST, GT and MT had the least AFE with corresponding mean value of 3103 days, approximately 8 year and 5 months and weight of first egg value 19.54g. Mean BWFE was also significantly ($P<0.05$) different except for PCT and GT.

**Table 1: Least squares mean ± SEM of productive performance as affected by genotypes at different laying ages**

| Parameters | MT | AST | PCT | GT | WAMT | SEM |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AFE (days) | 3103$^e$ | 3431$^d$ | 3650$^c$ | 4088$^b$ | 4380$^a$ | 72.16 |
| BWFE (g) | 1254.08$^d$ | 1306.43$^c$ | 1385.21$^b$ | 1390.34$^b$ | 1427.36$^a$ | 30.42 |
| WFE (g) | 19.54$^e$ | 22.87$^d$ | 24.60$^c$ | 28.21$^b$ | 38.35$^a$ | 1.46 |
| Mortality (%) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

$^{abcde}$**Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different ($P<0.05$)**

AFE = Age at first egg, BWFE = Body weight at first egg, WFE = Weight of first egg

MT = Marginata tortoise, AST = African Spurred tortoise, PCT = Pancake tortoise, GT = Greek tortoise, WAMT = West African Mud tortoise and SEM = Standard Error of Means.

The results also revealed that MT had the lowest BWFE value (1254.08g). Thesuperior weights of egg were recorded for WAMT, GT, PCT, AST, and MT in increasing order (38.35g, 28.21g, 24.60g, 22.87g and 19.54g) respectively (Table1). The egg number laid per tortoise per clutch is shown on Table 2. There were significant ($P<0.05$) difference on clutch numberamong the genotypes. The highest overall mean was recorded for WAMT with a mean value of 6.35 eggs/tortoise/clutchwith a range between 4.68 and 6.35 eggs/tortoise/clutch, while the WAMT andMT had the lowest clutch numberof 2 and 3. The ASThad the highest clutch number of 5 while PCT and GT had clutch number of 4 each.

**Table 2: Least squares mean ± SEM of egg number per tortoise per clutch as variable by genotype.**

| Clutch No. | Genotypes | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | MT | AST | PCT | GT | WAMT | SEM |
| 1 | $3.16^{bc}$ | $4.05^{b}$ | $3.64^{b}$ | $3.32^{b}$ | $5.76^{a}$ | 0.38 |
| 2 | $4.63^{c}$ | $4.24^{c}$ | $5.52^{ab}$ | $5.04^{b}$ | $6.94^{a}$ | 0.40 |
| 3 | $6.24^{a}$ | $5.42^{b}$ | $6.36^{a}$ | $6.63^{a}$ | - | 1.23 |
| 4 | - | $5.75^{b}$ | $8.58^{a}$ | $146.28^{a}$ | - | 1.07 |
| 5 | - | 4.50 | - | - | - | 0.36 |
| Overall mean | $4.68^{d}$ | $4.79^{c}$ | $6.02^{b}$ | $5.58^{b}$ | $6.35^{a}$ | 1.42 |

**[abc]Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different (P<0.05)**

The result of egg weight gains per clutch by genotypes at different laying ages is presented in Table 3. The result revealed significant differences (P<0.05) on egg weight gains in all the genotype group.The overall mean of AST had the highest value weight of 144.79g/tortoise/clutch. This was closely followed byWAMT,PCT,GT and MT had the least clutch overall mean egg weight gain with the values of 139.7g, 137.43g, 129.48g and 128.89g respectively.

**Table 3: Least squares mean ± SEM of egg weight gains per tortoise per clutch as affected by genetic variation**

| Clutch No. | Genotypes | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | MT | AST | PCT | GT | WAMT | SEM |
| 1 | $116.80^{e}$ | $125.00^{b}$ | $111.73^{c}$ | $108.56^{d}$ | $149.53^{a}$ | 7.54 |
| 2 | $141.36^{b}$ | $154.36^{a}$ | $139.46^{c}$ | $35.49^{d}$ | $130.00^{a}$ | 9.31 |
| 3 | $128.52^{c}$ | $178.76^{a}$ | $156.64^{b}$ | $127.60^{c}$ | - | 6.42 |
| 4 | - | $136.51^{c}$ | $141.90^{b}$ | $146.28^{a}$ | - | 7.18 |
| 5 | - | 129.30 | - | - | - | 3.55 |
| Overall mean | $128.89^{c}$ | $144.79^{a}$ | $137.43^{b}$ | $129.48^{c}$ | $139.76^{b}$ | 6.22 |

**[a,b,c,d]Means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly different P(<0.05)**

## Discussion:-

The variation in the AFE across the genotype groups studied may be due to differences in their genetic make-up. MT age at first egg (AFE) and body weight at first egg (BWFE) because it had been selected for faster rate of sexual development and egg laying. The lower BWFE observed in MT can be attributed that tortoise is a light breed specifically selected for egg laying and low feed consumption. Gatto and Reina, (2022) observed that genetic factors influence the rate of egg laying tortoises come into production at an early age. The higher AFE and BWFE observed in WAMT when compared to the other genotypes because the tortoise is a dual-purpose breed selected for both egg and meat production. This is not different from the findings of (Rugieroet al., 2021; and Harrington et al., 2021), who observed a higher BWFE in the wild. The variation in the weight of first egg observed in different genetic groups studied indicated that heavier tortoises had heavier weights of first egg. This variation is subject to both genetic as well as environmental effect, as observed by Epperson et al. (2020) and Sellers et al. (2021). Eustace et al. (2020) also reported that species differences in size and appetite lead to variation in egg size. The genetic implication of this is that theweight of first egg seems to depend to a large extent upon body weight at sexual maturity.

The superior performance of WAMT relative to other genotypes since tortoise is a light breed selected for high laying performance. Stemleet al. (2022)found a negative correlation among egg number and age at sexual maturity, body weight at sexual maturity but positive correlation between egg number and rate of lay. The lowest egg number observed in MT could be attributed to its hibernation nature during hot season as reported by Tiar-Saadiet al. (2022). The variation observed in EWT among the genetic groups is similar to the findings of (Cozad et al., 2020) and (Dudgeon et al., 2021). The mean clutch weights of individual tortoises in a genetic group throughout the period of lay were a function of total number of eggs laid, which to a large extent is influenced by the genetic make- up of the breed and the environment inter-play (Kperegbeyi et al., 2025). Better productive performance of egg weight gain observed in ASTcould probably be due to clutch number as reflected in the weights of first egg per year. According to Varela-Julio et al. (2023), the first egg laid by an adult is almost her smallest egg and it affects the size of eggs that a tortoise lays in future. If the first egg is large, the tortoise usually continues to lay large eggs. The lower egg number per tortoise per clutch observed inMT could be due to genotype and environmental interaction effect as reported by Blonder et al. (2021) that lowly heritable traits of three clutch number per year affected egg production, as traits are greatly affected by genetic make-up, environmental conditions and non-additive gene action.

## Conclusion:-

Egg production characteristics varied among the genotypes owing to the differences in their genetic make-up. The better laying performance observed inWAMT,PCT, and ASTrevealed that the captive tortoises have been artificially selected for the productive traits. The high BWFE, EWG exhibited by WAMTis due to the dual-purpose function of the tortoise. The productive performance ofAST in terms of clutch number advantage exhibited over the other genotypes (WAMT and MT) showed that our captive tortoises can easily be improved upon and inculcated in artificial insemination (AI) programme.

## References:-


1. Blonder, B. I., Liedtke, K. J.and Stephens, S.E. (2021). Changes in coastal gopher tortoise (Gopheruspolyphemus) burrow characteristic and density following hurricane events in Northeast Florida, USA: implications for conservation planning. Global Ecology and Conservation 25:1-12. DOI: 10.1016/j.gecco, 2020.e01437.
2. Booth, D, Staines, M. N, Reina, R.D. (2022). Sand characteristics do not influence hatching success of nests at the world's largest green turtle rookery. Australia Journal of Zoology, 69, 113-124.
3. Booth, D. Dunstan, A. Bell, I. Rein, R. Tedeschi, J. (2020). Low male production at the world's largest green turtle rookery. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 653, 181-190.
4. Bourou, R. Tiandray, H. Razandrimamilafiniarivo, O.C, Bekarany, E. Durbin, J. (2001). Comparative reproduction in wild and captive female ploughshare tortoises Geocheloneyniphora Dodo 37, 70-79.
5. Candan, O. (2018). Impact of nest relocation on the reproductive success of Loggerhead Turtles, Caretta caretta, in the Goksu Delta, Turkey (Reptilia: Cheloniidae) Zoology in the Middle East 64,38-46.
6. Choi, S., Kim,N. Kim,H. Kweon,J. J. Lee,S. K. Zhang,S. and Varricchio, D.J. (2021). Preservation of aragonite in Late Cretaceous (Campanian) turtle eggshell. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology585: 110741. DOI: 10.1016/j.palaeo.2021.110741
7. Cohen, A. A., Deelen, Jand Jones, O. R. (2022). Editorial: Mechanisms and pathways contributing to the diversity of aging across the Tree of Life. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 10. DOI: 10.3389/fcell.2022.854700
8. Cordero, G. A., and Vlachos, E. (2021). Reduction, reorganization and stasis in the evolution turtle shell elements. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 134: 892–911. DOI: 10.1093/biolinnean/blab122
9. Cordero, G.A., Vamberger,M. Fritz,U. and Ihlow, F. (2022). Skeletal repatterning enhances the protective capacity of the shell in African hinge-back tortoises (Kinixys). Anatomical record 2022 May 17. DOI: 10.1002/ar.24954
10. Cozad, R. A., Hernandez,S. M. T. Norton, M. Tuberville, T. D. Stacy, N. I. Stedman,N. L. and Aresco, M. J. (2020). Epidemiological investigation of a mortality event in a translocated gopher tortoise (Gopheruspolyphemus) population in northwest Florida. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 7: 120. DOI: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00120
11. EL Bizri, Morcatty,H.E, Valsecchi,T.Q, Mayor, J, Ribeiro,P, Vas-concelos-Neto,J.E.S, Olieira, C.F.A, Furtado, J.S, Ferreira,K.M, Miranda,U.C, Silva,C.F.S, Lopes, C.H, Lopes,V.L, Florindo,G.P, Chagas,C.C.F, Nijman,R.M, Fa, J.E. (2020). Urban wild meat consumption and trade in central Amazonia, Conserv. Biol. 34 (2) 438-448.
12. Eustace, A. L. Esser, F. Mremi,R. Malonza, P. K.andMwaya, R. T. (2020). Protected areas network is not adequate to protect a critically endangered East Africa chelonian: modelling distribution of pancake tortoise, Malacochersustornieri, under current and future climates. PLoS ONE, 16. DOI: 10.1101/2020.08.24.264796.
13. Ewart, H. E., Trickle, P. G. Sellers, W. I. Lambertz,M. Crossley II,D. A. and Codd, J. R. (2022). The metabolic cost of turning right side up in the Mediterranean spur-thighed tortoise (Testudo graeca). Scientific Reports 12:43–17. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-04273-w
14. Dudgeon, T. W., Livius,M. C. H. Alfonso, N. Tessier,S. and Mallon, J. C. (2021). A new model of forelimb ecomorphology for predicting the ancient habitats of fossil turtles. Ecology and Evolution 11: 17071–17079. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.8345
15. Duncan, D.B. (1955). 'Multiple range and multiple F test,'Biometrics, Vol.11, pp 1-42.
16. Dutcher, K. E., Vandergast, A. G. Esque,T. C. and Nussear, K. E. (2020). Genes in space: what Mojave Desert Tortoise genetics can tell us about landscape connectivity. Conservation Genetics 21 289–303: DOI: 10.1007/s10592–020-01251 49.
17. Elliott, T. F., Bower,D. S. and Vernes, K. (2019). Reptilian mycophagy: a global review of mutually beneficial associations between reptiles and macrofungi. Mycosphere10: 776– 797. DOI: 10.5943/mycosphere/10/1/18
18. Epperson, D. M., Allen,C. R. and Hogan, K. F. E. (2020). Red imported fire ants reduce invertebrate abundance, richness, and diversity in Gopher Tortoise burrows. Diversity 2020: 1–14. DOI: 103390/d13010007
19. Gatto, C. R., and Reina, R. D. (2022). A review of the effects of incubation conditions on hatchlingphenotypes in non-squamate reptiles. Journal of Comparative Physiology. B, Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology 192: 207–233. DOI: 10.1007/s00360-021-01415-4
20. Hays, G.C, Shimada, T. Schofield, G. (2022). A review of how the biology of male sea turtles may help mitigate female-biased hatching sex ratio skews in a warming climate. Marine biology 169.

21. Hanish, C. J., Velez, S. Moore, J. A.and Anderson, C. D. (2020). Endozoochoric of Chrysobalanusicao (Codoplum) by Gopheruspolyphemus(Gopher Tortoise) facilitates rapid gemination and colonization in a suburban nature preserve. Annals of Botany Plants 12(4): 1–12. DOI: 10.1093/aobpla/plaa024.
22. Harrington, L. A., Auliya, M. Eckerman,H., Harrington,A. P. Macdonald, D. W.and D'Cruz, N. (2021). Live wild animal exports to supply the exotic pet trade: a case study from Togo using publicly available social media data. Conservation Science and Practice 3: e430. DOI: 10.1111/csp2.430.
23. Henen, B. T. (1997). Energy and water use, growth, and reproduction in female desert tortoises (Gopherusagassizii) in the Mojave Desert. Herpetological Monographs, 11, 1-44.
24. Hopkins, B.C, Willson, J.D, Hopkins W.A. (2013). Mercury Exposure is Associated with Negative Effects on Turtle Reproduction. Environmental science and Technology 47, 2416-2422.
25. Kperegbeyi, J.I, Okhale, O.E, Onwumere-Idolor, O.S, Osunu, P.T, and Nwose, E. U. (2025). Changes in food-derived minerals and molecules during differentgrowth phases of Freshwater Tortorise (GeocheloneNigra) under intensive management system: Implication for public health. International Journal of Scientific Reports, 11 (7): 238-243. DOI:10.18203/issn. 2454-2156.
26. Kperegbeyi, J.I, Onwumere-Idolor, O.S, Nwadiolu, R.,Ewododhe, A.C.A.,andMeye, J.A. (2025a). Assessment of the phenotypic variation in colour among tortoise species from diverse ecological zones in Delta State, Nigeria. International Journal of modeling and Applied Science Research, 8(9). DOI: 10.70382/caijmasr.v8i9.023.
27. Kperegbeyi, J.I, Nwadiolu, R.,Ewododhe, A.C.A., Onwumere-Idolor, O. S., Adaigho, D. O., Samuel, A. P and Nwankwo, N. (2024). Changes in Body Weight in Morphometric During Varying Growth Phases of Freshwater Tortorise (GeocheloneNigra) in intensive managementpractices. African Journal of Applied Research, 10: (1) 104-116.
28. Major, P, EL Bizri, H.E, Bodmer, R.E, Bowler, M. (2017). Assessment of mammal reproduction for hunting sustainability through community-based sampling of species in the wild, Conserv. Biol. 31 (4) 912-923.
29. McLuckie, A. M., andFridell, R. A. (2002). Reproduction in a Desert Tortoise (Gopherusagassizii) Population in the Beaver Dam Slope, Arizona. Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 4(1), 164-168.
30. Morcatty, T.Q, Valsecchi, J. (2015). Social, biological, and environmental drivers of the hunting and trade of the endangered yellow-footed tortoise in the Amazon, Ecol. Soc. 20 (3) art 3.
31. Phillott, A, Godfrey, M. (2020). Assessing the evidence of 'infertile' sea turtle eggs. Endangered Species Research, 41, 329-338.
32. Phillott, A.D, Godfrey, M.H, Avens, L.I. (2021). Distinguishing Between fertile and infertile sea Turtle Eggs. Marine Turtle Newsletter, 18-21.
33. Rostal, D. C., (2004). Reproductive traits in the spur-thighed tortoise (Testudo graecaterrestris): New tools for the enhancement of reproductive success and survivorship. Theriogenology, 61(6), 1091-1100.
34. Rugiero, L., (2021). Differences in Reproductive Success in Young and Old Females of a Mediterranean Spur-Thighed Tortoise Population (Testudo graeca). Animals, 11(2), 467.
35. S.A.S (2018). Statistical Analysis System Institute.User's Guide Version 9.2. S.A.S. Institute Incorporated, Cary, NC, USA.
36. Standford, C.B, (2020). Turtles and Tortoises Are in Trouble Current Biology 30, R721-R735.Doi: 10.1016/j.Cub.2020.04.088.
37. Sellers, B. W., (2021). Warming conditions boost reproductive output for a northern population of gopher tortoise Gopheruspolyphemus.Endangered Species Research, 46, 215-227.
38. SouthernDelta University Meteorological Station Report Zonal Office, Ozoro, 2024.
39. Stemle, L.R., Rothermel, B. B.and Searcy, C. A. (2022). GPS technology reveals larger home ranges for immature Gopher Tortoises. Journal of Herpetology 56: 172–179. DOI: 10.1670/20-128
40. Tiar-Saadi, M., Tiar,G. Bouslama,Z. and Siroky, P. (2022). Mechanisms determining body size and shape difference in Algerian Spur-thighed Tortoises (Testudo graeca). Animals 12: 1–21. DOI: 10.3390/ani12101330
41. Varela-Julio, A. I., (2023). Ovarian cycle, reproductive performance and breeding seasonality of the yellow-footed tortoise (Chelonoidisdenticulatus) from the Peruvian Amazon. Journal of Nature Conservation, 71, 125997.
42. Zabala, J., andMaillet, S. (2024). Environmental variation structures reproduction and recruitment in a migratory population of Galapagos giant tortoises. Ecology and Evolution, 14(3), ecm.1599.